



# Snow Data Issues and NSA Model Assimilation

**Carrie Olheiser**

**National Operational Hydrologic Remote Sensing Center**

*Office of Climate, Water, and Weather Services*

National Weather Service, NOAA

U.S. Department of Commerce



# Outline

- **Snow Observations**

- Importance of snow observations to NSA assimilation.


- Data collection, metadata issues, and quality control of snow observations.

- **National Snow Analyses (NSA)**

- Snow modeling and data assimilation system for U.S.

- Overview of the data assimilation process.

# Where do snow observations come from?



## Data Feeds

NoaaPort  
MADIS

## Regional Surveys

Maine Cooperative Snow Survey  
USACE New England District  
Saint Johns River Basin  
Milk River Basin, MT

**February 6, 2004**

**11623 snow depth reports from  
4198 unique stations**

**9201 snow water equivalent reports  
from 968 unique stations**

**March 1, 2004**

**10939 snow depth reports from  
3979 unique stations**


**9285 snow water equivalent reports  
from 1302 unique stations**

**Average day ~ 20,000 stations report any physical element**


- Past season  
~ 4000 stations reported SWE.
- Average day  
~ 750 stations report SWE
- Of these 750  
~ 500 are SNOTEL
- The remaining 250 observations come from a set of ~ 3000 stations.


## U.S. Snow Cover and Snow Reporters


2003 - 2004 Snow Season



## Snow Water Equivalent Reporters 2003–2004







# Metadata Sources at NOHRSC

Over 40 different sources used for station Metadata

## National Weather Services Database

NWSLI  
CSSA (B44's)  
Meteorological Station Location Information  
NWS-ICAO  
NWS-METAR  
MADIS-FSL  
Hydromet. Automated Data System  
NCDC

## Federal and State Agencies

NRCS SNOTEL and Snow Courses  
USACE New England District Snow Surveys  
Federal Aviation Administration  
California Department of Water Resources  
Maine Cooperative Snow Survey  
MesoWest ( 150 + smaller mesonets)  
Numerous State Mesonets

Weather Forecast Offices, River Forecast Centers and Regional Offices

Over 50,000 Stations in NOHRSC's Database

**NEED ONE-STOP SHOPPING FOR STATION METADATA**





# Stations Without Metadata

- 1950 stations sent observations across NOAAPort with unknown metadata from January 1, 2004 to August 1, 2004.
- 2,451,864 observations were lost for the unknown 1950 stations.

# Importance of Accurate Metadata

- Numerous databases leads to uncertainties in the station metadata
  - Example : Cole Canyon, station CLCW4
    - Latitude and Longitude from NWLSI places this station in Canada, it should be in Wyoming
    - Snotel Metadata
      - 44.80000
      - -104.0667
      - Elevation 5910 meters
    - NWSLI Metadata
      - 49.4889
      - -104.4161
      - Elevation 5910 meters

# Importance of Data in SHEF

- If data is not sent across NOAAPort in SHEF format it falls on floor.
- Many reports are lost in Public Information Statements and Local Storm Reports.
- Some offices send PNS or LSR products as RR products as well.
- Use stranger station format to send data from infrequent reports.

NOUS45 KSLC 121745  
PNSSLC

PUBLIC INFORMATION STATEMENT...PRECIP TOTALS  
NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SALT LAKE CITY UT  
1030 AM MST FRI NOV 12 2004

...PRELIMINARY STORM TOTALS...

ANOTHER UPPER LEVEL LOW PRODUCED A MOIST EASTERLY FLOW  
BROUGHT PRECIPITATION TO MOST THE REGION.

HERE IS A LIST OF TOTALS SINCE WEDNESDAY NIGHT.


LOCATION	PRECIPITATION (INCHES)	SNOWFALL (INCHES)
----------	---------------------------	----------------------

...WASATCH MOUNTAINS AND PLATEAU...


SNOWBASIN MID BOWL	0.54	6
FARMINGTON (8000 FT)	0.40	5
ROCKY BASIN (OQUIRRHS)	0.40	4
BEN LOMOND PEAK (8000 FT)	0.40	4
INDIAN CANYON (9100 FT)	0.40	4
WHITE RIVER (8500 FT)	0.40	4
SUNDANCE (7500 FT)	0.36	3
RED PINE RIDGE (9200 FT)	0.30	4
CLEAR CREEK (9200 FT)	0.30	4
TIMPANOGOS DIVIDE (8199 FT)	0.30	3
CASCADE MOUNTAIN (7800 FT)	0.30	2
HORSE RIDGE (8500 FT)	0.32	3
TONY GROVE	0.30	3
ALTA COLLINS	0.20	3

# NOHRSC Operational Snow Model Runs and Product Release Schedule

2003 December 23



# Importance of Accurate Measurements




# Snow Water Equivalent, Snow Depth, Snow Cover, and Snow Melt

## Modeled and Observed

Station:  
 Latitude: 46.867222 N  
 Longitude: 68.013333 W  
 Elevation: 191 Meters  
 Start Date: 040201-08  
 Stop Date: 040215-08  
 Forest Density: 6 %  
 Land Use: Conifer Boreal Forest

	(Modeled)	(Observed)
Snow Water Equivalent	—	●
Snow Depth	—	■
Snow Density	—	+
Snow Melt	—	
Assimilation	—	
Snow Cover (Observed by Satellite):		
Snow		
No Snow		
Cloud		
Not Mapped		



# Meteorological Handbook No. 1, Surface Weather Observations and Reports (FCM-H1-1995).

Paragraph 12.7.2, a. Precipitation, (d) Snow Depth on Ground (4/sss). At designated stations, the **total snow depth on the ground** group shall be coded in the **0000 and 1200 UTC** observation whenever there is more than a trace of snow on the ground. It shall be coded in the **0600 and 1800 UTC** observation if there is more than a trace of snow on the ground and more than a trace of precipitation (**water equivalent**) has occurred within the past 6 hours. The remark shall be coded in the format, 4/sss, where 4/ is the group indicator and sss is the snow depth in whole inches using three digits. For example, a snow depth of 21 inches shall be coded as "4/021".

The NWS requests the above paragraph be changed to:

At designated stations, the **total depth of snow on the ground** shall be coded in the **0000, 0600, 1200, and 1800 UTC** observation whenever there is more than a trace of snow on the ground. The remark shall be coded in the format, 4/sss, where 4/ is the group indicator and sss is the snow depth in whole inches using three digits. For example, a snow depth of 21 inches shall be coded as "4/021".

## National Weather Service Observing Handbook No.7, Part IV, Supplementary Observations

- Estimating snow water equivalent using 10 to 1 ratios or lookup tables is **NOT NWS** policy.  
( Data is more than worthless)
- Revisions have been made this past summer.
- The new manual is NWSM 10-1311, Supplementary Observations
- <http://www.nws.noaa.gov/directives/010/pd01013011a.pdf>




- **Importance of Snow Observations to the National Snow Analyses (NSA)**
  - Snow modeling and data assimilation system for U.S.

# Snow Observation Assimilation

*Daily SWE and Snow Depth Observations are used to update the model*

- Deltas between observed and modeled states are examined
  - *Coherent spatial pattern is required to warrant update*
    - Subgrid variability
- If pattern is explainable, update field is generated and used to nudge the model toward observed states



# Why Assimilate?


- Uncertainties in driving data
  - RUC2 precipitation underestimation
  - Typing issue; rain/ snow
  - Placement of storm track
- Uncertainties due model physics
  - Melt problems due to temperature bias
  - Sublimation rates

# RUC2 Underestimated Precipitation

## Precipitation, Snow Water Equivalent, and Snow Depth Modeled and Observed


Station: WALN6 - WALTON, NY  
 Latitude: 42.166700 N  
 Longitude: 75.133300 W  
 Elevation: 378 Meters  
 Start Date: 031204-06  
 Stop Date: 031208-06  
 Forest Density: 26 %  
 Land Use: Cool Broadleaf Forest

Snow Precipitation  
 Non-Snow Precipitation  
 Unknown Precipitation  
 Snow Water Equivalent (Modeled)  
 Snow Depth (Modeled)  
 Present Weather (Observed):  
 No Precipitation  
 Rain (Not Freezing)  
 Freezing Drizzle/Rain  
 Mixed Rain/Snow  
 Snow



# Placement of Storm Track

- The model propagated the system through the region too slowly.




# Data Sources Used to Determine Assimilation Region

- Use Current Observations
  - Ground Based Snow Depth
  - Ground Based Water Equivalent
  - Ground Based Snow Density
  - Airborne Gamma Data
- Satellite Snow Cover
  - 1km NOHRSC Snow Map
  - 5km NESDIS Snow Map
- Snow Model Snow Cover
- Present Weather
  - Temperature
  - Precipitation
- Model Bias
  - Typing of precipitation
  - Temperature bias

# (Observed – Modeled) Snow States


Observations collected from previous 24 hours ending at 12Z of current day



2000 to 3000 Snow Depth or Water Equivalent Ground Observations





# Determination of Assimilation Region

## Satellite Snow Cover





# Determination of Assimilation Region Present Weather




# Quality Control of Data

- All observations go through automated quality control
- Outlier observations are manually quality control
- Snow data quality control issues
  - Station instability
  - Spatial representativeness of observation; point-in-pixel consistency
  - Fundamental measurement errors

# Manual Quality Control

## Temporal Consistency




**Unstable snow pillow observations in early and late season when SWE is less than 2 inches water.**

# Manual Quality Control

## Internal Consistency

### Snow density checks



# Spatial Representativeness: Point-in-Pixel Problem

## Mt. Mansfield SCAN Site

- Vermont



**Model:**  
**93% Forest Canopy Density**  
**Cool Broadleaf Forest**


### Snow Water Equivalent, Snow Depth, Snow Cover, and Snow Melt Modeled and Observed

Station: MMSV1 - MOUNT MANSFIELD  
Latitude: 44.550000 N  
Longitude: 72.833300 W  
Elevation: 682 Meters  
Start Date: 040120-16  
Stop Date: 040403-16  
Forest Density: 93 %  
Land Use: Cool Broadleaf Forest

(Modeled) (Observed)

Snow Water Equivalent (blue line) (blue dots)  
Snow Depth (cyan line) (cyan plus signs)  
Snow Density (purple line) (purple plus signs)  
Snow Melt (red line) (red plus signs)  
Assimilation (green line) (green plus signs)

Snow Cover (Observed by Satellite):  
Snow (blue bar) No Snow (yellow bar) Cloud (grey bar) Not Mapped (black bar)




# Spatial Representativeness: Point-in-Pixel Problem

## Virginia Lakes Ridge SNOTEL

- Sierra Nevada, California



**Model:**  
**41% Forest Canopy Density**  
**Cool Conifer Forest**




# Spatial Representativeness: Point-in-Pixel Problem

Leavitt Meadows SNOTEL  
- Sierra Nevada, California



**Model:**  
**47% Forest Canopy Density**  
**Cool Conifer Forest**



# Spatial Representativeness: Point-in-Pixel Problem

## Rocky Boy SNOTEL

- Central Montana



**Model:**  
**40% Forest Canopy Density**  
**Hot Irrigated Cropland**


### Snow Water Equivalent, Snow Depth, Snow Cover, and Snow Melt Modeled and Observed

Station: ROCM8 - ROCKY BOY  
Latitude: 48.183300 N  
Longitude: 109.65690 W  
Elevation: 4701 Feet  
Start Date: 040201-15  
Stop Date: 040403-15  
Forest Density: 40 %  
Land Use: Hot Irrigated Cropland

(Modeled) (Observed)

Snow Water Equivalent (blue line) (blue dots)  
Snow Depth (light blue line) (light blue dots)  
Snow Density (purple line) (purple dots)  
Snow Melt (red line) (red dots)  
Assimilation (green line) (green dots)

Snow Cover (Observed by Satellite):  
Snow (blue bar) No Snow (yellow bar) Cloud (orange bar) Not Mapped (grey bar)





# Spatial Representativeness: Point-in-Pixel Problem

## Glacial Ridge SCAN Site

- Central Minnesota



**Model:**  
**30% Forest Canopy Density**  
**Cool Forest and Field**


### Snow Water Equivalent, Snow Depth, Snow Cover, and Snow Melt Modeled and Observed

Station: GLRM5 - GLACIAL RIDGE  
Latitude: 47.716700 N  
Longitude: 96.266700 W  
Elevation: 343 Meters  
Start Date: 031120-16  
Stop Date: 040401-16  
Forest Density: 30 %  
Land Use: Cool Forest and Field


(Modeled) (Observed)

Snow Water Equivalent (blue line) (blue dots)  
Snow Depth (cyan line) (cyan squares)  
Snow Density (purple line) (purple pluses)  
Snow Melt (red line) (red pluses)  
Assimilation (green line) (green pluses)

Snow Cover (Observed by Satellite):  
Snow (blue bar) No Snow (yellow bar) Cloud (grey bar) Not Mapped (white bar)




# Spatial Representativeness




2 stations at the same latitude and longitude

# Generate Nudging Layer


- Methods
  - Vertical and Horizontal Distance Weighted
  - Horizontal Distance Weighted



# Results



February 4, 2004  
Before Assimilation



February 5, 2004  
After Assimilation

# ***Recommendations***

- 1. Make accurate, representative snow measurements.**
- 2. Report snow depth with all snow water equivalent measurements.**
- 3. Do not divide snow depth by 10 to infer snow water equivalent; it's worse than useless.**
- 4. Code all snow data from all U.S. and Canadian reporting stations in SHEF and send to AWIPS.**
- 5. Report time of snow observations; otherwise, 1200 UTC is the system default.**
- 6. Ensure that the correct units are reported in SHEF for each observation; otherwise, English units are the system default.**

# ***Recommendations***

- 7. Send snow data (in SHEF) to AWIPS as soon as possible, ideally within 24 hours after observation.**
- 8. Use appropriate AWIPS headers for all SHEF snow data.**
- 9. Check NWSLI to ensure that all reporting stations are in NWSLI.**
- 10. Check NWSLI to ensure that all lat/long metadata in NWSLI are correct and reported to 4 decimal points for all snow reporting stations.**
- 11. If available, provide digital photographs of each snow course location, estimate of percent forest cover, forest type, and canopy closure.**



# Questions ?

[www.nohrsc.noaa.gov](http://www.nohrsc.noaa.gov)

